

The Origin and Diversification of Birds

Stephen L. Brusatte^{1,*}, Jingmai K. O'Connor^{2,*}, and Erich D. Jarvis^{3,4,*}

¹School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute, King's Buildings, James Hutton Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FE, UK ²Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China ³Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA ⁴Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, USA

*Correspondence: Stephen.Brusatte@ed.ac.uk (S.L.B.), jingmai@ivpp.ac.cn (J.K.O.), jarvis@neuro.duke.edu (E.D.J.) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.08.003

Birds are one of the most recognizable and diverse groups of modern vertebrates. Over the past two decades, a wealth of new fossil discoveries and phylogenetic and macroevolutionary studies has transformed our understanding of how birds originated and became so successful. Birds evolved from theropod dinosaurs during the Jurassic (around 165–150 million years ago) and their classic small, lightweight, feathered, and winged body plan was pieced together gradually over tens of millions of years of evolution rather than in one burst of innovation. Early birds diversified throughout the Jurassic and Cretaceous, becoming capable fliers with supercharged growth rates, but were decimated at the end-Cretaceous extinction alongside their close dinosaurian relatives. After the mass extinction, modern birds (members of the avian crown group) explosively diversified, culminating in more than 10,000 species distributed worldwide today.

Introduction

Birds are one of the most conspicuous groups of animals in the modern world. They are hugely diverse, with more than 10,000 extant species distributed across the globe, filling a range of ecological niches and ranging in size from the tiny bee hummingbird (\sim 2 grams) to the ostrich (\sim 140,000 grams). Their feathered bodies are optimized for flight, their supercharged growth rates and metabolism stand out among living animals, and their large brains, keen senses, and the abilities of many species to imitate vocalizations and use tools make them some of the most intelligent organisms on the planet [1].

This begs a fascinating question: how did birds achieve such great diversity and evolutionary success? For much of the last two centuries this was a mystery, but over the past two decades a wealth of new fossil discoveries, molecular phylogenetic analyses of living birds, and quantitative macroevolutionary analyses have revolutionized our understanding of bird origins and evolution. This new information reveals a surprising story: birds evolved from dinosaurs and have a deep evolutionary history, during which their signature body plan evolved piecemeal over \sim 100 million years of steady evolution alongside their dinosaurian forebears before many of the modern groups of birds explosively diversified after the non-avian dinosaurs went extinct 66 million years ago (Figure 1) (e.g. [2–4]).

The origin of birds is now one of the best understood major transitions in the history of life. It has emerged as a model case for using a combination of data from fossils, living species, genealogies, and numerical analyses to study how entirely new body plans and behaviors originate, and how prominent living groups achieved their diversity over hundreds of millions of years of evolution [2,3]. Here, we review what is currently known about the origin, early diversification, and rise to dominance of birds, and the various lines of evidence that piece together this story.

Note that throughout this review, we use the vernacular term 'birds' to refer to a specific group, which is defined in a phylogenetic sense as the most inclusive clade containing *Passer domesticus* (the house sparrow) but not the extinct bird-like

dinosaurs *Dromaeosaurus albertensis* or *Troodon formosus*. This clade includes all living birds and extinct taxa, such as *Archaeopteryx* and Enantiornithes. Some researchers refer to this group as Avialae (e.g. [2,5]), but others use the name Aves (e.g. [6]). In this review, we avoid these debates by referring to this group as 'Avialae/Aves' and its members as 'avians'. We use Neornithes to refer to the avian crown group, which comprises all living birds and the descendants from their most recent common ancestor.

The Dinosaur-Bird Link: Once Controversial, Now Mainstream

What did birds evolve from and where do they fit into the family tree of life? For much of the 19th and 20th centuries these questions were hotly debated. The first hint that birds evolved from reptiles appeared in 1861, only a few years after Darwin published On the Origin of Species, with the discovery of an exquisite skeleton of a Late Jurassic (ca. 150 million year old) bird from Germany. Named Archaeopteryx by British anatomist Richard Owen, this fossil possessed a curious mixture of classic bird features, such as feathers and wings, but also retained sharp claws on the hands, a long bony tail, and other reptilian characteristics [7]. Over the next two decades, Thomas Henry Huxley - Owen's great rival and Darwin's most vociferous early supporter argued that Archaeopteryx bore remarkable similarities to small dinosaurs like Compsognathus, supporting an evolutionary link between the groups [8,9]. This idea gained some acceptance, but fell out of favor during the early 20th century, largely as a result of an influential book by Danish anatomist Gerhard Heilmann [10]. Up until the 1960s most scientists held that birds originated from a nebulous ancestral stock of reptiles called 'thecodonts'.

The debate over bird origins was reinvigorated in the 1960s– 1980s, as a new generation of paleontologists spearheaded the 'Dinosaur Renaissance' [11]. John Ostrom discovered fossils of the astonishingly bird-like dinosaur *Deinonychus* in western North America [12], Robert Bakker and colleagues argued that dinosaurs grew fast and had active metabolisms like living birds





Figure 1. Summary phylogeny (genealogical tree) of birds.

The phylogeny shows where birds fit into the larger vertebrate family tree and the relationships of the earliest birds and their closest dinosaurian relatives (based on [2] and other studies cited therein). Timescale values are in millions of years: thick red line denotes the mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary caused by asteroid impact (denoted by fireball on the right): arrows denote lineages that survived the extinction; circles represent species known from a particular point in time; thick line sections of branches indicate direct fossil evidence and thin lines are temporal distributions implied by phylogenetic ghost lineages; Cz, Cenozoic interval after the end-Cretaceous extinction. Silhouette anatomical features in the lower part of the figure are plotted approximately where they evolve on the phylogeny. Species silhouettes at the top of the image are from phylopic.org and designed by (from left to right): Nobu Tamura, Anne Claire Fabre, T. Michael Keesey, Steven Traver, Andrew A. Farke, Mathew Wedel, Stephen O'Connor/T. Michael Keesey, Brad McFeeters/T. Michael Keesey, Scott Hartman, T. Michael Keesey, Scott Hartman, Scott Hartman, Matt Martyniuk, Matt Martyniuk, Matt Martyniuk, Matt Martyniuk, Nobu Tamura/T. Michael Keesey, Matt Martyniuk, J.J. Harrison/T. Michael Keesey. 'Bipedal posture' silhouette by Scott Hartman

[13], and Jacques Gauthier and colleagues used the revolutionary new technique of cladistics to place birds within the family tree of dinosaurs [14]. By the 1990s the vast majority of paleontologists accepted the dinosaur-bird link, but many ornithologists remained skeptical. The discovery in the late 1990s in China of fossils from thousands of bona fide dinosaurs covered in feathers provided the most definitive visual evidence for the dinosaur-bird link [15–17], convincing most of the remaining skeptics (Figure 2A–C). It is now widely accepted, even by ornithologists, that birds evolved from dinosaurs [18], with the two groups linked by hundreds of shared features of the skeleton, soft tissues, growth, reproduction, and behavior [2,3,19–22]. Most amazingly, it is now known that many non-bird dinosaurs were feathered and would have looked much more like birds than lizards or crocodiles (Figure 3).

Where Birds Nest in the Dinosaur Family Tree

Birds evolved from dinosaurs, and therefore are dinosaurs, in the same way that humans are a type of mammal (Figure 1). Birds are nested within the theropod dinosaurs, the major subgroup of mostly carnivorous species that includes the behemoths *Tyrannosaurus* and *Allosaurus*, but also smaller and obviously much more bird-like species such as *Velociraptor*, *Deinonychus*, and *Troodon* [21,22]. Birds are members of a nested set of ever-more exclusive theropod subgroups: Coelurosauria, Maniraptora, and Paraves (Figure 1). Their very closest relatives are the mostly small-bodied, feathered, large-brained dromaeosaurids and troodontids, exemplified by the well-known *Velociraptor* [23].

However, the exact relationships among paravians (birds, dromaeosaurids, and troodontids) are uncertain and often vary between competing phylogenetic analyses based on morphological characters, because as more fossils are found it is becoming clear that the earliest birds were very similar anatomically to primitive dromaeosaurids and troodontids, so it is difficult to tell them apart. Thus, there is current debate about whether dromaeosaurids and troodontids form their own clade of close bird relatives, or whether one of them is more closely related to birds than the other [2,5,24]. This means that there is also ongoing debate about which fossils are the earliest birds. The iconic Archaeopteryx is still widely considered to be among the first birds [2,5,24-26], but some studies have suggested that it may instead be a primitive dromaeosaurid or troodontid [27,28]. Additional studies have also found other small feathered theropods, such as Anchiornis and Xiaotingia, to be the earliest birds [24,26], more primitive than Archaeopteryx. There is also debate about whether the bizarre, sparrow-to-pigeon-sized, long-fingered scansoriopterygids are basal-most birds or nonbird maniraptorans [2,5,24-26,29].

These debates will likely continue, but the alternative answers do not change two important points: firstly, that birds first appear in the fossil record during the Middle–Late Jurassic, around 165–150 million years ago (the age of *Archaeopteryx*, *Xiaotingia*, *Anchiornis*, and close dromaeosaurid and troodontid relatives); and secondly, that the oldest birds and their closest relatives were small (roughly chicken-sized), lightweight, longarmed, winged, and feathered animals (Figure 4A,B). The fact that scientists are having a difficult time distinguishing the earliest birds from their closest dinosaur relatives illustrates just how bird-like some non-bird dinosaurs were (Figure 3), and how the transition between non-bird dinosaurs and birds was gradual.





Mesozoic Birds: The First ${\sim}100$ Million Years of Avian History

Birds had diversified by the Early Cretaceous, evolving into a number of groups of varying anatomy and ecology [30] (Figure 1; example fossils in Figure 5). This diversification is recorded by the fossils of the Jehol Biota of northeastern China, dated between approximately 130.7 and 120 million years ago, which have yielded thousands of almost complete and fully articulated skeletons [31,32]. These are the oldest unequivocally avian fossils after Archaeopteryx and account for approximately half of the total recorded global diversity of Mesozoic bird species, with representatives of every major early avian group present [33]. Although highly diverse for its time, not surprisingly this primitive avifauna exhibited less ecological diversity than modern assemblages. Small arborealists, semiaquatic taxa, and larger generalists are present, but certain extant ecomorphs were absent, such as large aerial foragers and aquatic specialists [34].

The Jehol biota provides a spectacular window into the early evolution of birds, and demonstrates that many major lineages were already well established in the Early Cretaceous [35]. The long bony-tailed Jeholornithiformes (*Jeholornis* and kin), only slightly more derived than *Archaeopteryx*, lived alongside the earliest birds with a pygostyle (a fused, reduced tail bone). These latter birds include Sapeornithiformes

Figure 2. Montage of feathered, bird-like non-avian theropod dinosaurs.

(A) The four-winged dromaeosaurid *Microraptor gui* (photo by Mick Ellison). (B) The small longarmed dromaeosaurid cf. *Sinornithosaurus* (photo by Mick Ellison). (C) The large short-armed dromaeosaurid *Zhenyuanlong suni* (photo by Junchang Lü). All specimens from the Early Cretaceous (130.7–120 million years ago) Jehol Biota of Liaoning Province, China.

(Sapeornis and kin), the beaked Confuciusornithiformes (a clade of early birds including the abundantly found Confuciusornis), and the earliest members of the major early bird groups Enantiornithes and Ornithuromorpha [33]. These latter two groups together form the derived clade Ornithothoraces, whose members are characterized by several modifications to the flight apparatus that probably made them more powerful and efficient fliers, such as a keeled sternum (breastbone), elongate coracoid, narrow furcula (wishbone), and reduced hand [30]. The enantiornithines were the dominant group of Cretaceous birds, in terms of both numbers of fossils and taxonomic diversity (~50 named species). These so-called 'opposite birds', named because they differ from modern birds in the construction of the shoulder girdle (ornithuromorphs

have a concave scapular cotyla, whereas this surface is convex in enantiornithines), include such taxa as *Gobipteryx* and *Sinornis* and were distributed worldwide during the Cretaceous [36].

Ornithuromorphs include a slew of Cretaceous birds, such as *Gansus*, *Patagopteryx*, *Yixianornis*, and *Apsaravis*, which form a grade on the line to Ornithurae, a derived subgroup that includes modern birds and their closest fossil relatives. Among these close relatives are *lchthyornis*, a gull-like species with nearly modern avian skeletal features except for the retention of large teeth in both jaws and the absence of a hypotarsus (a structure of the ankle in living birds that guides the pulley-like tendons of the toes), and the Hesperornithiformes, a group of large, flightless diving birds [30,37]. These basal ornithurines are restricted to the Late Cretaceous.

True modern birds — members of the crown group Neornithes — are a mostly post-Cretaceous radiation, although there is some fossil evidence for Cretaceous species [38]. This evidence mostly consists of extremely fragmentary specimens of tenuous taxonomic affinity. The single best record of a Cretaceous neornithine is the partial skeleton of *Vegavis* from the latest Cretaceous (around 68–66 million years ago) of Antarctica, which is assigned to the subgroup of modern birds including ducks and geese (Anseriformes) based on the morphology of the well-developed hypotarsus [39].



Figure 3. A troodontid dinosaur, one of the closest relatives to birds. Reconstructed, artistic and scientifically informed appearance of a small troodontid dinosaur and its surrounding environment, illustrating the incredibly bird-like appearance of derived non-avian dinosaurs close to the common ancestor of birds. The male (left) is shown displaying to the female. The environment (Tiaojishan Formation, Middle-Late Jurassic, Liaoning, China) is a seasonally dry woodland dominated by bennettites and cycads. Illustration by Jason Brougham (http://jasonbrougham.com/). Other artistic illustrations and interpretations for these advanced paravian dinosaurs exist in the literature, with various degrees of reptilian and avian features reconstructed, but all depictions are remarkably bird-like.

The Assembly of the Bird Body Plan and Classic Avian Behaviors

The ever-growing fossil record of early birds and their closest dinosaurian relatives, which can be placed in a well-resolved family tree (Figure 1), allow unprecedented insight into how the classic body plan and signature behavioral features of birds originated, evolved, and were related to the phenomenal success of the group (Figure 4). Over the past two decades of research, one overarching pattern has become clear: many features - such as feathers, wishbones, egg brooding, and perhaps even flight that are seen only in birds among living animals first evolved in the dinosaurian ancestors of birds (Figures 4 and 5). Other features, such as rapid growth, a keeled sternum, pygostyle, and beak, are absent in the earliest birds and evolved, often multiple times, in more derived birds during the Cretaceous. Therefore, what we think of as the bird 'blueprint' was pieced together gradually over many tens of millions of years of evolution, not during one fell swoop (Figure 1) [2,3,19,20]. We describe the assembly of this 'blueprint' below.

Living birds are mostly small and have a highly distinct skeleton well suited for flight. This small body size is a culmination of an evolutionary trend spanning more than 50 million years, beginning in maniraptoran theropods distantly related to birds [40–42]. The bipedal posture, hinge-like ankle, hollowed bones, and long S-shaped neck of birds were inherited from deep dinosaurian ancestors [43,44], the wishbone (furcula) and threefingered hands of birds first appeared in primitive theropods, the reversion of the pubis and associated forward movement of the center of mass occurred in maniraptoran theropods, and the ability to fold the forearm against the body evolved in paravians closely related to birds [3,19,20]. Other classic avian features, such as the keeled breastbone to support flight muscles and highly reduced tail, evolved after the origin of birds, meaning that the earliest birds looked more like dinosaurs in lacking these features. Long-term trends in skeletal proportions and musculature across dinosaurs and early birds led to two of the most characteristic features of living birds: the elongated arms, which became wings in birds ([45], but see [46]); and the bizarre 'crouched' hindlimb posture, in which the femur is held nearly horizontal and most of the locomotory activity of the hindlimb occurs at the knee joint rather than the pelvic joint [47].

Perhaps the single most recognizable feature of birds is feathers, which are used to construct an airfoil for flight (the wing), and also for display, thermoregulation, and egg brooding. The evolution of feathers likely began in the earliest dinosaurs, or perhaps even in the closest relatives of dinosaurs [48,49] (Figure 4A,B). A variety of primitive theropods, such as Sinosauropteryx and the tyrannosaurs Dilong and Yutyrannus [17], and a growing number of plant-eating ornithischian dinosaurs, such as Tianyulong and Kulindadromeus [50,51], are now known from spectacularly preserved fossils covered in simple, hair-like filaments called 'protofeathers' that are widely considered to be the earliest stage of feather evolution [48,52]. Elaboration of these structures into the more complex, branching, vaned feathers of modern birds occurred in maniraptoran theropods [48]. Some non-bird dinosaurs like *Microraptor* possess feathers basically indistinguishable from the flight feathers of living birds [53-55] (Figures 2 and 3). The story of feather evolution is becoming increasingly clear: the earliest feathers evolved in non-flying dinosaurs, likely for display and/or thermoregulation, and only later were they co-opted into flight structures in the earliest birds and their very closest dinosaurian relatives.

In many derived non-bird dinosaurs, vaned feathers are layered together to form wings on the arms, and in some cases the legs and tails [55–59]. Whether these wings were capable of flight, or perhaps used for other functions, such as egg brooding or display [60], is difficult to answer at present, although there is some emerging evidence for multiple uses.

Some non-bird dinosaurs probably did use their wings to fly. Biomechanical study of the four-winged dromaeosaurid *Micro-raptor* suggests that it was a capable glider, although probably not capable of the kind of muscle-driven powered flight of living birds [61]. In further support of *Microraptor*'s volant capabilities, it is the only taxon with asymmetrical hindlimb feathers (flight feathers are asymmetrical with a short and stiff leading vane and are optimized to withstand the force of the airstream), and the only non-avian with an elongated coracoid, a feature of all early birds in which a sternum is present (*Jeholornis, Confuciusornis*, and ornithothoracines) [62].

Other non-bird dinosaurs may have used their wings for functions other than flight. Although hindlimb feathers are often regarded as evidence that birds evolved flight through a fourwing stage [58], these feathers are symmetrical (i.e., not well constructed for flight) in all known species other than *Microraptor*. This suggests that their initial purpose was not for flight, but another function, such as display [63]. Similarly, a majority of tail morphologies of early birds and close dinosaurian relatives appear to be primarily ornamental in function, suggesting that sexual selection may have been the initial driving force in the evolution of complex paravian plumages, with their use as airfoils for flight coming later [35]. A display function for many of these





complex feathers would also explain demonstrated increases in melanosome diversity in these dinosaurs, which would have caused the feathers to have a diversity of colors [64].

Therefore, we hold that the following is most likely, based on present evidence. First, much of the evolution of complex feathers and wings in paravian dinosaurs was driven by factors other than flight, such as display. Second, some paravians that evolved flightworthy plumage of large wings composed of asymmetrical feathers (such as Microraptor and perhaps other taxa that await discovery) evolved flight in parallel to flight in birds. This latter hypothesis is bolstered by the recent realization that flight probably evolved multiple times within maniraptoran dinosaurs, enabled by structures other than feathered wings: the enigmatic maniraptoran clade Scansoriopterygidae also evolved gliding flight through the use of fleshy patagia similar to flying squirrels [29]. If derived bird-like dinosaurs were experimenting with using different body structures to evolve flight in parallel, it follows that different dinosaurs may have evolved different flightworthy feathered wings in parallel as well. Third, although early birds and even some non-bird dinosaurs had volant capabilities, powered flight as we know it in modern birds most certainly developed after the origin of birds themselves.

The earliest birds lacked many key features related to powered flight in modern birds, and probably had primitive flight capabilities that varied substantially between groups. For example, unlike modern birds, *Archaeopteryx* lacked a bony sternum and even a compensatory specialized gastral basket for anchoring large flight muscles [62,65]. The slightly more derived *Jeholornis* possessed a curious mixture of features: it retained a primitive long, bony tail unlike that of extant birds, but had several derived flight-related features of modern birds, such as numerous fused

Figure 4. Montage of bird-like features in non-avian theropod dinosaurs.

(A) Simple filament-like 'protofeathers' on the head of the compsognathid Sinosauropteryx. (B) Large, branching, vaned feathers forming a wing on the arms of the dromaeosaurid Zhenyuanlong suni. (C) Parent oviraptorosaur brooding its nest of large eggs. (D) Furcula (wishbone) of the dromaeosaurid Bambiraptor feinbergorum. (E) Hollow internal cavity in the tibia of the tyrannosaurid Alioramus altai. (F,G) Pneumatic foramina (denoted by arrows), where air sacs penetrated the bones, in a cervical vertebra (F) and rib (G) of the tyrannosaurid Alioramus altai. (H) The reconstructed brain of the troodontid Zanabazar iunior (orange, olfactory bulb; green, telencephalon; blue, cerebellum; red, midbrain; yellow, hindbrain). (I) The brain of the modern woodpecker Melanerpes. Photo in (B) by Junchang Lü; images in (H,I) by Amy Balanoff; all other photos by Mick Ellison.

sacral vertebrae, an elongated coracoid with a procoracoid process (important in creating the pulley-like system used to minimize effort in the upstroke, otherwise only present in the Ornithuromorpha), a complex sternum, a narrow excavated furcula with a short hypocleidium, and a curved scapula [66,67]. *Jeholornis* also had its own peculiarities: it possessed a

unique fan-shaped tract of tail flight feathers that likely increased lift and allowed the long tail to be used as a stabilizer, thus producing its own unique and probably very effective form of flight [68].

It was only in birds much more derived than Archaeopteryx and Jeholornis that the fully modern style of avian flight developed, enabled by a keeled sternum supporting enormous flight muscles, a tail reduced to a fused plough-shaped pygostyle, and a complete triosseal canal in the shoulder (which encloses the pulley-like system that automates the upstroke). These innovations then combined with features evolved earlier in birds and their non-dinosaurian relatives, such as elongation of the feathered forelimbs and a narrow furcula, to produce the style of highly efficient, muscle-driven flight seen in today's birds, which allows some species to fly at altitudes of \sim 9,000 meters (such as some vultures and geese) and over distances of hundreds of kilometers [1]. This modern style of flight developed with or near the origin of Ornithuromorpha. Enantiornithines strongly resemble ornithuromorphs in many anatomical features of the flight apparatus, but a sternal keel was apparently lacking in the most basal members, only a single basal taxon appears to have had a triosseal canal [69], and their robust pygostyle appears to have been unable to support the muscles that control the flight feathers on the tail (retrices) in modern birds [70].

Other distinctive anatomical features of modern birds, relating to the sensory and respiratory systems, first evolved in their dinosaurian ancestors. Living birds are highly intelligent with keen senses, enabled in part by a forebrain that is expanded relative to body size [71]. This expansion began early in theropod evolution [72] and non-bird paravians had the highly expanded, and presumably 'flight ready', brain of early birds [73] (Figure 4).



Modern birds also possess an efficient 'flow through' lung in which oxygen passes across the gas exchange tissues during inhalation and exhalation, and which is linked to a complex system of balloon-like air sacs that store air outside of the lungs [74]. Recent work has surprisingly shown that this system first began to evolve in reptiles, as extant crocodiles and monitor lizards exhibit unidirectional breathing [75,76], but without a complex system of air sacs. The air sacs evolved in early dinosaurs, as shown by the distinctive foramina where the air sacs penetrate into vertebrae and other bones, and became more extensive and elaborate during the course of theropod evolution [77–80] (Figure 4F,G). Most theropod dinosaurs at the very least, and possibly other dinosaurs, therefore possessed a 'bird-like' lung.

Extant birds grow remarkably fast, usually maturing from hatchling to adult within a few weeks or months, and have a high-powered endothermic ('warm-blooded') metabolism. As shown by studies of bone histology and growth curves based on counting lines of arrested growth in bones, non-bird dinosaurs grew much faster than previously realized, at a rate intermediate between that of reptiles and modern birds [81,82]. The oldest birds, such as Archaeopteryx, and Mesozoic bird groups, such as enantiornithines, had growth rates similar to derived non-bird dinosaurs [83], and the amplified rates and rapid maturation of modern birds probably evolved somewhere around the origin of Ornithurae [3,84]. Determining the physiology of dinosaurs is difficult and has been the source of considerable debate for decades [11,13]. What is certain, however, is that most dinosaurs had high metabolisms more similar to birds than to living reptiles [85]. A recent comprehensive study found that dinosaurs had so-called 'mesothermic' physiologies, intermediate between 'cold-blooded' ectotherms and endotherms [86]. The emerging consensus is that the endothermic physiology of living

Figure 5. Montage of Mesozoic birds.

(A) The basal avian Sapeornis chaoyangensis (juvenile, photo by Huali Chang). (B) The enantiornithine Eopengornis martini. (C) The basal avian Jeholornis prima. (D) The basal ornithuromorph Iteravis huchzermeyeri. Photos in (B–D) by Jingmai O'Connor. All specimens from the Early Cretaceous (130.7–120 million years ago) Jehol Biota of Liaoning Province, China.

birds had its roots in the mesothermic physiologies of dinosaurs, but was absent in basal birds and developed later in avian history.

The reproductive system of living birds is remarkably derived compared to their closest living relatives (crocodilians) and other vertebrates. Birds possess only a single functional ovary and oviduct and have oocytes that mature rapidly, such that only a single oocyte (or none) is ovulated, shelled, and laid per 24-hour cycle (not numerous eggs en masse as in crocodilians and many dinosaurs). They lay small clutches of large, asymmetrical eggs formed by two or three crystal

layers, which typically are actively brooded in the nest by one or both parents [1] (Figure 4). These features evolved incrementally: derived microstructural eggshell characteristics, smaller clutches, and sequential ovulation were acquired in maniraptoran dinosaurs closely related to birds [87,88]. However, derived nearbird dinosaurs apparently retained two functional ovaries [89], whereas *Jeholornis* and enantiornithines apparently had a single ovary, indicating that the left ovary was lost very close to the dinosaur-bird transition, perhaps related to body lightening during the evolution of flight [90]. Egg size progressively increased and clutch size decreased during early avian evolution [90].

This summary illustrates how the classic anatomical and behavioral features of birds (the bird 'blueprint') did not evolve in one or a few spurts of innovation, but more gradually over a long period of evolutionary time and across the dinosaur family tree (Figure 1). However, there apparently were some bursts of evolution in the early history of birds. Once a small flight-capable dinosaur had been assembled, there was a huge spike in rates of anatomical evolution in the earliest birds [2]. Later, the early evolution of short-tailed birds (Pygostylia) in the Cretaceous was associated with high rates of hindlimb evolution and greater than normal speciation [91].

Birds Dealt with a Crisis at the End of the Cretaceous

The course of avian history was dramatically affected by the mass extinction at the end of the Cretaceous, ~66 million years ago, which wiped out all non-avian dinosaurs and many other groups [92,93]. The extinction was geologically rapid and most likely caused by the impact of a large asteroid or comet, which triggered a global cataclysm of climate and temperature change, acid rain, earthquakes, tsunamis, and wildfires [94,95]. It is possible that somewhat longer-term changes in the Earth



Current Biology Review

Figure 6. Ordinal-level genome-scale family tree of modern birds.

The tree was generated from ${\sim}30$ million base pairs of genomic DNA consisting of exons, introns, and ultraconserved elements. Branch colors represent well-supported clades. All bootstrap values are 100% except where noted. Names on branches denote orders (-iformes) and English group terms (in parentheses). To the right are superorder (-imorphae) and higher unranked names. Text color denotes groups of species with broadly shared traits, whether by homology or convergence. The arrow at the bottom indicates the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary at 66 million years ago, with the Cretaceous period shaded at left. The dashed line represents the approximate end time (50 million years ago) by which nearly all neoavian orders diverged. Horizontal grav bars on each node indicate the 95% credible interval of divergence time in millions of years. Figure used and modified with permission from [4].

enantiornithines and basal ornithuromorphs persisted until at least a few hundred thousand years before the end of the Cretaceous in western North America, suggesting that the extinction was sudden and directly linked to the end-Cretaceous impact [99]. This also indicates that birds were strongly affected by the end-Cretaceous extinction, with many major early groups going extinct, countering the stereotype that the mass extinction decimated the non-avian dinosaurs but largely spared birds (see reviews in [92,99]). However, because of the scrappy fossil record of the latest Cretaceous birds, which is mostly limited to isolated bones [99], it has been unclear why certain birds went extinct and others survived.

Multiple lineages of early neornithines must have endured the extinction, leaving them the only surviving members of the initial Mesozoic radiation of birds. Fossil

system, including volcanism and sea-level fluctuations, may have also played a role in the extinction [96]. The emerging picture, however, is that the world changed suddenly at the end of the Cretaceous, killing off many once-dominant groups and giving other organisms an opportunity to radiate in the vacant ecospace.

Birds were diverse in the Late Cretaceous, with many of the characteristic lineages of 'archaic' birds from the Jehol Biota (species outside of the neornithine crown, such as enantiornithines and basal ornithuromorphs) living alongside what was probably a moderate diversity of early neornithines, as indicated by rare fossils and molecular phylogenetic studies tracing some modern lineages into the Cretaceous [4,39,97,98]. None of these 'archaic' non-neornithine birds, however, apparently survived past the Cretaceous and into the Paleogene. There has long been debate about whether the extinction of 'archaic' birds was gradual or sudden, but recent evidence shows that a diverse avifauna of [100,101] and recent genetic [4] evidence supports this view and shows that these birds diversified rapidly in the post-apocalyptic world, probably taking advantage of the ecological release afforded by the extinction of both the 'archaic' birds and the very bird-like non-avian dinosaurs. Numerous groups of modern neornithines make their first appearance in the fossil record during the \sim 10 million years after the end-Cretaceous extinction [102], and a genome-scale molecular phylogeny indicates that nearly all modern ordinal lineages formed within 15 million years after the extinction [4], suggesting a particularly rapid period of both genetic evolution and the formation of new species (Figure 6). We discuss this recent phylogenomic study further below.

Birds after the Cretaceous

The more than 10,000 species of birds living in today's world are divided into two major groups: the Palaeognathae (which

includes flightless forms, such as kiwis, ostriches, emus, and rheas) and the Neognathae, the speciose clade that includes the remainder of bird diversity. The Neognathae, in turn, is composed of the subgroup Galloanserae (the 'fowls', including ducks, chickens, and geese) and Neoaves (which includes everything from pigeons and owls to falcons and parrots) [4,97,98,103] (Figure 6).

The phylogenetic relationships of Neoaves have been the subject of extensive work in recent years. The recent phylogenomic study by Jarvis *et al.* [4] is the most comprehensive genome-scale analysis of birds to date in terms of amount of DNA sequence (using up to \sim 300 million nucleotides) and number of analyses, and attempted to resolve two main issues: firstly, the general branching patterns between the major orders on the bird family tree; and secondly, when these groups diverged, particularly which groups originated before the end-Cretaceous extinction and which arose afterwards. The study was able to resolve, with the highest level of certainty to date, the ordinal relationships of modern birds, and determine that the majority of these groups diverged immediately after the Chicxulub asteroid impact that ushered out the Cretaceous.

According to the dated phylogeny of Jarvis et al. [4], the common ancestor of Neoaves lived in the Cretaceous. The earliest divergence of this ancestor gave rise to the major subgroups Columbea (consisting of doves, flamingoes, grebes, and sandgrouse) and Passerea (consisting of all other neoavian species). We predict that this ancestor may have been ecologically similar to modern shorebirds, since the number of divergences after the Columbea and Passerea split, and thereby also after the Neognathae split, to obtain an aquatic or semi-aquatic versus terrestrial species are almost equal (Figure 6) [4]. At least four to six of these basal Neoaves lineages and several members of Palaeognathae and Galloanseres are predicted to have passed through the end-Cretaceous extinction. The subsequent burst of speciation after the extinction consisted of an initial rapid radiation of additional basal Neoaves orders, from grebes to hummingbirds, followed by two subsequent radiations of 'core waterbirds' (including penguins, pelicans, and loons) and 'core landbirds' (including birds of prey, woodpeckers, parrots, and songbirds). As mentioned above, nearly all of these ordinal divergences occurred within the first 15 million years after the mass extinction, with this pulse of evolution ending around 50 million years ago.

In general, the results of the Jarvis et al. [4] study are consistent with earlier studies proposing a major post-Cretaceous radiation of birds [99,104] and the hypothesis that shorebird-type species were able to endure the extinction [100,101] with traits that may have allowed them to live in diverse environments. However, these new results are at odds with previous molecular studies suggesting a major pre-Cretaceous divergence of Neoaves 20-100 million years earlier [97,105,106]. The main differences with some previous molecular studies are that the Jarvis et al. [4] study used genomic-scale data and took a conservative approach of using non-ambiguous fossils for dating the tree. In sum, the new phylogenomic study supports a 'short fuse' hypothesis for modern bird diversity (e.g. [100]), in which some of the main extant lineages originated during the final few tens of millions of years of the Cretaceous, but the key interval of speciation and ordinal-level diversification was concentrated in the few million years after the end-Cretaceous extinction.

The new phylogenetic analysis revealed some surprising relationships among well-known living birds, which help to better understand the evolution of important anatomical and ecological traits. Among the Columbea, the flamingos and grebes (both waterbird orders) were found to be sister clades [107] and their closest relatives were inferred to be a landbird group consisting of pigeons, sandgrouse, and mesites (Figure 6). This suggests that the aquatic or terrestrial adaptations of these groups with the 'core' waterbirds and landbirds are convergent. Among the 'core' waterbird group, there appears to be a graded acquisition of aquatic traits, beginning with the sunbittern/tropicbird clade and culminating in penguins and pelicans amongst others, which are more obligate water-dwellers.

The common ancestor of the 'core' landbirds was inferred to be an apex predator, closely related to the extinct giant terror birds (Phorusrhacidae) that included human-sized apex predators in North and South America during much of the Cenozoic (around 62-2 million years ago) [107,108]. The species at the deepest branches of 'core' landbirds (vultures/eagles/owls and seriemas/falcons) are predatory, but within this group the raptorial trait appears to have been lost twice: once among the Afroaves clade, on the branch leading to Coraciimorphae (mousebirds to bee eaters), and again among the Australaves clade, on the branch leading to Passerimorphae (parrots to songbirds) (Figure 6). The names of Afroaves and Australaves imply their likely geographical origins [109], although more evidence is needed to confirm this. One interpretation of such independent losses of the raptorial trait is that being a predator is a costly lifestyle for modern birds and is being selected against over time. Another interpretation is that this trait was passively lost twice.

The new phylogeny also helps to better understand the evolution of one of the most intriguing traits of some living birds: vocal learning, including the ability of some species to imitate human speech. This is a very rare trait, seen in only in songbirds, parrots, and hummingbirds among birds and very few mammals (e.g. dolphins, bats, elephants, and humans) but not non-human primates. As such, avian vocal learners have become highly studied animal models of human speech [110-112]. In contrast to longstanding inferences of three independent gains [103,110,113], the new analysis supports two independent gains of vocal learning amongst Neoaves: once in the hummingbirds and once in the common ancestor of parrots and songbirds, followed by two subsequent losses in New Zealand wrens and suboscines. However, it does not completely rule out independent evolution in parrots and songbirds (Figure 6) [4]. All three vocal-learning bird lineages and humans were found to have evolved convergent mutations and changes in gene expression in the regions of the brain that control song (bird) and speech (human) [98,114]. Overall, these findings reveal the great amount of diversity and convergence that occurred among birds (including some features convergent with mammals) during the post-Cretaceous revolution.

Conclusions

Modern birds achieved their enormous diversity over a more than 150 million year evolutionary journey, which began with their divergence from theropod dinosaurs, continued with the gradual and piecemeal acquisition of a flight-worthy body plan, and involved two bursts of diversification: first in the Mesozoic when a small, feathered, winged dinosaur was fully assembled, and second when surviving species had the freedom to thrive after the end-Cretaceous extinction. The origin of avian diversity reveals some greater truths about evolution over long timescales, namely that major living groups have a deep history, underwent long and often unpredictable paths of evolution, and were given unexpected opportunities to radiate if they were able to survive mass extinctions that decimated other groups. The flurry of recent work on avian evolution is a prime example of how fossil, morphological, genomic, phylogenetic, and statistical data can be combined to weave an evolutionary narrative, and explain how some of the modern world's most familiar species became so successful.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is funded by NSF DEB 1110357, Marie Curie Career Integration Grant EC 630652, an NSF GRF, Columbia University, and the American Museum of Natural History to S.L.B.; and HHMI support to E.D.J. We thank R. Benson, J. Choiniere, A. Dececchi, G. Dyke, H. Larsson, M. Lee, G. Lloyd, P. Makovicky, M. Norell, A. Turner, S. Wang, and X. Xu for discussions with S.L.B.; and E.L. Braun, J. Cracraft, J. Fjeldsa, M.T.P. Gilbert, P. Houde, S. Ho, D. Mindell, S. Mirarab, T. Warnow, and G. Zhang for discussions with E.D.J.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gill, F.B. (2007). Ornithology, 3rd edition (London: W.H. Freeman and Company).
- Brusatte, S.L., Lloyd, G.T., Wang, S.C., and Norell, M.A. (2014). Gradual assembly of avian body plan culminated in rapid rates of evolution across the dinosaur-bird transition. Curr. Biol. 24, 2386–2392.
- Xu, X., Zhou, Z., Dudley, R., Mackem, S., Chuong, C.-M., Erickson, G.M., and Varricchio, D.J. (2014). An integrative approach to understanding bird origins. Science 346, 1253293.
- Jarvis, E.D., Mirarab, S., Aberer, A.J., Li, B., Houde, P., Li, C., Ho, S.Y., Faircloth, B.C., Nabholz, B., Howard, J.T., *et al.* (2014). Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science 346, 1320–1331.
- Turner, A.H., Makovicky, P.J., and Norell, M.A. (2012). A review of dromaeosaurid systematics and paravian phylogeny. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 371, 1–206.
- O'Connor, J.K., and Zhou, Z. (2013). A redescription of *Chaoyangia* beishanensis (Aves) and a comprehensive phylogeny of Mesozoic birds. J. Syst. Palaeontol. 7, 889–906.
- Owen, R. (1863). On the Archeopteryx of von Meyer, with a description of the fossil remains of a long-tailed species, from the lithographic stone of Solenhofen. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 153, 33–47.
- 8. Huxley, T.H. (1868). On the animals which are most nearly intermediate between birds and reptiles. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 2, 66–75.
- 9. Huxley, T.H. (1870). Further evidence of the affinity between the dinosaurian reptiles and birds. Quar. J. Geol. Soc. London 26, 12–31.
- 10. Heilmann, G. (1926). The Origin of Birds (London: Witherby).
- 11. Bakker, R.T. (1986). The Dinosaur Heresies (New York: William Morrow).
- Ostrom, J.H. (1969). Osteology of *Deinonychus antirrhopus*, an unusual theropod from the Lower Cretaceous of Montana. Bull. Peabody Mus. Nat. Hist. 30, 1–165.
- Bakker, R.T. (1972). Anatomical and ecological evidence of endothermy in dinosaurs. Nature 238, 81–85.



- Gauthier, J.A. (1986). Saurischian monophyly and the origin of birds. Mem. Cal. Acad. Sci. 8, 1–55.
- Ji, Q., and Ji, S. (1996). On the discovery of the earliest bird fossil in China (*Sinosauropteryx* gen. nov.) and the origin of birds. Chinese Geology 10, 30–33.
- Chen, P., Dong, Z., and Zhen, S. (1998). An exceptionally well-preserved theropod dinosaur from the Yixian Formation of China. Nature 391, 147–152.
- 17. Norell, M.A., and Xu, X. (2005). Feathered dinosaurs. Annu. Rev. Earth Plan. Sci. 33, 277–299.
- Prum, R.O. (2002). Why ornithologists should care about the theropod origin of birds. The Auk 119, 1–17.
- Padian, K., and Chiappe, L.M. (1998). The origin and early evolution of birds. Biol. Rev. 73, 1–42.
- Makovicky, P.J., and Zanno, L.E. (2011). Theropod diversity and the refinement of avian characteristics. In Living Dinosaurs, G. Dyke, and G. Kaier, eds. (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley), pp. 9–29.
- Weishampel, D.B., Dodson, P., and Osmólska, H. (2004). The Dinosauria, 2nd edition (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press).
- Brusatte, S.L. (2012). Dinosaur Paleobiology (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell).
- Norell, M.A., and Makovicky, P.J. (2004). Dromaeosauridae. In The Dinosauria, 2nd edition, D.B. Weishampel, P. Dodson, and H. Osmólska, eds. (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press), pp. 196–209.
- Foth, C., Tischlinger, H., and Rauhut, O.W.M. (2014). New specimen of Archaeopteryx provides insights into the evolution of pennaceous feathers. Nature 511, 79–82.
- 25. Agnolín, F.L., and Novas, F.E. (2013). Avian Ancestors (Heidelberg: Springer Briefs in Earth System Sciences).
- Godefroit, P., Cau, A., Dong-Yu, H., Escuillié, F., Wenhao, W., and Dyke, G. (2013a). A Jurassic avialan dinosaur from China resolves the early phylogenetic history of birds. Nature 498, 359–362.
- Xu, X., You, H., Du, K., and Han, F. (2011). An Archaeopteryx-like theropod from China and the origin of Avialae. Nature 475, 465–470.
- Godefroit, P., Demuynck, H., Dyke, G., Hu, D., Escuillié, F., and Claeys, P. (2013b). Reduced plumage and flight ability of a new Jurassic paravian theropod from China. Nat. Comm. 4, 1394.
- Xu, X., Zheng, X.-T., Sullivan, C., Zhang, F.-C., O'Connor, J.K., and Wang, X.-L. (2015). A bizarre Jurassic maniraptoran theropod with preserved evidence of membranous wings. Nature 521, 70–73.
- O'Connor, J.K., Chiappe, L.M., and Bell, A. (2011). Pre-modern birds: avian divergences in the Mesozoic. In Living Dinosaurs: the Evolutionary History of Birds, G.D. Dyke, and G. Kaiser, eds. (New Jersey: J. Wiley & Sons), pp. 39–114.
- Zhou, Z., Barrett, P.M., and Hilton, J. (2003). An exceptionally preserved Lower Cretaceous ecosystem. Nature 421, 807–814.
- Zhou, Z.-H. (2014). The Jehol Biota, an Early Cretaceous terrestrial Lagerstätte: new discoveries and implications. Nat. Sci. Rev. 1, 543–559.
- Zhou, Z.-H., and Zhang, F.-C. (2006). Mesozoic birds of China a synoptic review. Vertebr. Palasiat. 44, 74–98.
- Mitchell, J.S., and Makovicky, P.J. (2014). Low ecological disparity in Early Cretaceous Birds. Proc. Biol. Sci. 281, 20140608.
- Wang, M., Zheng, X.-T., O'Connor, J.K., Lloyd, G.T., Wang, X.-L., Wang, Y., Zhang, X.-M., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2015). The oldest record of Ornithuromorpha reveals heterogeneous rates of morphological evolution among Early Cretaceous birds. Nat. Commun. 6, 6987.
- 36. Chiappe, L.M., and Walker, C.A. (2002). Skeletal morphology and systematics of the Cretaceous Euenantiornithes (Ornithothoraces: Enatiornithes). In Mesozoic Birds: Above the Heads of Dinosaurs, L.M. Chiappe, and L.M. Witmer, eds. (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press), pp. 240–267.

- Bell, A., and Chiappe, L.M. (2015). A species-level phylogeny of the Cretaceous Hesperornithiformes (Aves: Ornithuromorpha): implications for body size evolution amongst the earliest diving birds. J. Syst. Palaeontol. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2015.1036141.
- Hope, S. (2002). The Mesozoic radiation of Neornithes. In Mesozoic Birds: Above the Heads of Dinosaurs, L.M. Chiappe, and L.M. Witmer, eds. (Berkeley: University of California Press), pp. 339–388.
- Clarke, J.A., Tambussi, C.P., Noriega, J.I., Erickson, G.M., and Ketcham, R.A. (2005). Definitive fossil evidence for the extant avian radiation in the Cretaceous. Nature 433, 305–308.
- Turner, A.H., Pol, D., Clarke, J.A., Erickson, G.M., and Norell, M.A. (2007). A basal dromaeosaurid and size evolution preceding avian flight. Science 317, 1378–1381.
- Benson, R.B.J., Campione, N.E., Carrano, M.T., Mannion, P.D., Sullivan, C., Upchurch, P., and Evans, D.E. (2014). Rates of dinosaur body mass evolution indicate 170 million years of sustained ecological innovation on the avian stem lineage. PLoS Biol. *12*, e1001853.
- Lee, M.S.Y., Cau, A., Naish, D., and Dyke, G.J. (2014). Sustained miniaturization and anatomical innovation in the dinosaurian ancestors of birds. Science 345, 562–566.
- Brusatte, S.L., Benton, M.J., Desojo, J.B., and Langer, M.C. (2010). The higher-level phylogeny of Archosauria (Tetrapoda: Diapsida). J. Syst. Palaeontol. 8, 3–47.
- 44. Nesbitt, S.J. (2011). The early evolution of archosaurs: relationships and the origin of major clades. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. *352*, 1–292.
- 45. Middleton, K.M., and Gatesy, S.M. (2000). Theropod forelimb design and evolution. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. *128*, 149–187.
- Dececchi, T.A., and Larsson, H.C.E. (2013). Body and limb size dissociation at the origin of birds: uncoupling allometric constraints across a macroevolutionary transition. Evolution 67, 2741–2752.
- Allen, V., Bates, K.T., Li, Z., and Hutchinson, J.R. (2013). Linking the evolution of body shape and locomotor biomechanics in bird-line archosaurs. Nature 497, 104–107.
- Xu, X., and Guo, Y. (2009). The origin and early evolution of feathers: insights from recent paleontological and neontological data. Vert. PalAsiatica 47, 311–329.
- Brusatte, S.L., Nesbitt, S.J., Irmis, R.B., Butler, R.J., Benton, M.J., and Norell, M.A. (2010). The origin and early radiation of dinosaurs. Earth Sci. Rev. 101, 68–100.
- Zheng, X.T., You, H.L., Xu, X., and Dong, Z.M. (2009). An Early Cretaceous heterodontosaurid dinosaur with filamentous integumentary structures. Nature 458, 333–336.
- Godefroit, P., Sinitsa, S.M., Dhouailly, D., Bolotsky, Y.L., Sizov, A.V., McNamara, M.E., Benton, M.J., and Spagna, P. (2014). A Jurassic ornithischian dinosaur from Siberia with both feathers and scales. Science 345, 451–455.
- Prum, R.O. (1999). Development and evolutionary origin of feathers. J. Exp. Zool. 285, 291–306.
- Ji, Q., Currie, P.J., Norell, M.A., and Ji, S.A. (1998). Two feathered dinosaurs from northeastern China. Nature 393, 753–761.
- Norell, M., Ji, Q., Gao, K., Yuan, C., Zhao, Y., and Wang, L. (2002). 'Modern' feathers on a non-avian dinosaur. Nature 416, 36–37.
- Xu, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, X., Kuang, X., Zhang, F., and Du, X. (2003). Fourwinged dinosaurs from China. Nature 421, 335–340.
- Hu, D., Hou, L., Zhang, L., and Xu, X. (2009). A pre-Archaeopteryx troodontid theropod from China with long feathers on the metatarsus. Nature 461, 640–643.
- Longrich, N.R., Vinther, J., Meng, Q., Li, Q., and Russell, A.P. (2012). Primitive wing feather arrangement in *Archaeopteryx lithographica* and Anchiornis huxleyi. Curr. Biol. 22, 2262–2267.

- 58. Zheng, X.-T., Zhou, Z.-H., Wang, X.-L., Zhang, F.-C., Zhang, X.-M., Wang, Y., Wei, G.-J., Wang, S., and Xu, X. (2013). Hind wings in basal birds and the evolution of leg feathers. Science 339, 1309–1312.
- Lü, J., and Brusatte, S.L. (2015). A large, short-armed, winged dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Early Cretaceous of China and its implications for feather evolution. Sci. Rep. 5, 11775.
- Koschowitz, M.-C., Fischer, C., and Sander, M. (2014). Beyond the rainbow. Science 346, 416–418.
- Dyke, G., de Kat, R., Palmer, C., van der Kindere, J., Naish, D., and Ganapathisubramani, B. (2013). Aerodynamic performance of the feathered dinosaur *Microraptor* and the evolution of feathered flight. Nat. Commun. *4*, 2489.
- O'Connor, J.K., Zheng, X.-T., Wang, X.-L., Zhang, X.-M., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2015). The gastral basket in basal birds and their close relatives: size and possible function. Vertebr Palasiat. 53, 133–152.
- 63. O'Connor, J.K., and Chang, H.-L. (2014). Hindlimb feathers in paravians: primarily 'wings' or ornaments? Zoolog. J. 93, 1166–1172.
- 64. Li, Q., Clarke, J.A., Gao, K.-Q., Zhou, C.-F., Meng, Q., Li, D., D'Alba, L., and Shawkey, M.D. (2014). Melanosome evolution indicates a key physiological shift within feathered dinosaurs. Nature 507, 350–353.
- 65. Zheng, X.-T., O'Connor, J.K., Wang, X.-L., Wang, M., Zhang, X.-M., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2014). On the absence of sternal elements in Anchiornis (Paraves) and Sapeornis (Aves) and the complex early evolution of the avian sternum. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. USA *111*, 13900–13905.
- Zhou, Z., and Zhang, F. (2003). *Jeholornis* compared to *Archaeopteryx*, with a new understanding of the earliest avian evolution. Naturwissenschaften 90, 220–225.
- O'Connor, J.K., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2014). Earliest stages in the evolution of the modern avian skeleton: *Archaeopteryx* and the Jehol avifauna compared. J. Vert. Paleontol. *34*, 197A.
- O'Connor, J.K., Wang, X., Sullivan, C., Zheng, X., Tubaro, P., Zhang, X., and Zhou, Z. (2013). Unique caudal plumage of Jeholornis and complex tail evolution in early birds. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 17404–17408.
- 69. Zhang, F., and Zhou, Z. (2000). A primitive enantiornithine bird and the origin of feathers. Science 290, 1955–1959.
- Clarke, J.A., Zhou, Z., and Zhang, F. (2006). Insight into the evolution of avian flight from a new clade of Early Cretaceous ornithurines from China and the morphology of *Yixianornis grabaui*. J. Anat. 208, 287–308.
- Northcutt, R.G. (2011). Evolving large and complex brains. Science 332, 926–927.
- 72. Larsson, H.C.E., Sereno, P.C., and Wilson, J.A. (2000). Forebrain enlargement among theropod dinosaurs. J. Vert. Paleontol. 20, 615–618.
- Balanoff, A.M., Bever, G.S., Rowe, T.B., and Norell, M.A. (2013). Evolutionary origins of the avian brain. Nature 501, 93–96.
- Duncker, H.R. (1971). The lung air sac system of birds. Adv. Anat. Emb. Cell Biol. 45, 1–171.
- Farmer, C.G., and Sanders, K. (2010). Unidirectional airflow in the lungs of alligators. Science 327, 338–340.
- Schachner, E.R., Cieri, R.L., Butler, J.P., and Farmer, C.G. (2014). Unidirectional pulmonary airflow patterns in the savannah monitor lizard. Nature 506, 367–370.
- Britt, B.B. (1993). Pneumatic postcranial bones in dinosaurs and other archosaurs. PhD thesis (University of Calgary).
- O'Connor, P.M., and Claessens, L.P.A.M. (2005). Basic avian pulmonary design and flow-through ventilation in non-avian theropod dinosaurs. Nature 426, 253–256.
- Sereno, P.C., Martinez, R.N., Wilson, J.A., Varricchio, D.J., Alcober, O.A., and Larsson, H.C. (2008). Evidence for avian intrathoracic air sacs in a new predatory dinosaur from Argentina. PLoS One 3, e3303.



- Benson, R.B.J., Butler, R.J., Carrano, M.T., and O'Connor, P.M. (2012). Air-filled postcranial bones in theropod dinosaurs: physiological implications and the 'reptile'-bird transition. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 87, 168–193.
- Erickson, G.M., Rogers, K.C., and Yerby, S.A. (2001). Dinosaurian growth patterns and rapid avian growth rates. Nature 412, 429–433.
- Padian, K., de Ricqlès, and Horner, J.R. (2001). Dinosaurian growth rates and bird origins. Nature 412, 405–408.
- Erickson, G.M., Rauhut, O.W., Zhou, Z., Turner, A.H., Inouye, B.D., Hu, D., and Norell, M.A. (2009). Was dinosaurian physiology inherited by birds? Reconciling slow growth in *Archaeopteryx*. PLoS One 4, e7390.
- Chinsamy, A. (2002). Bone microstructure of early birds. In Mesozoic Birds: Above the Heads of Dinosaurs, L.M. Chiappe, and L.M. Witmer, eds. (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press), pp. 421–431.
- Padian, K., and Horner, J.R. (2004). Dinosaur physiology. In The Dinosauria, 2nd edition, D.B. Weishampel, P. Dodson, and H. Osmólska, eds. (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press), pp. 660–671.
- Grady, J.M., Enquist, B.J., Dettweiler-Robinson, E., Wright, N.A., and Smith, F.A. (2014). Evidence for mesothermy in dinosaurs. Science 344, 1268–1272.
- 87. Grellet-Tinner, G., and Chiappe, L.M. (2004). Dinosaur eggs and nesting: implications for understanding the origin of birds. In Feathered Dragons: Studies on the Transition from Dinosaurs to Birds, P.J. Currie, E.B. Koppelhus, M.A. Shugar, and J.L. Wright, eds. (Bloomington: Indiana University Press), pp. 185–214.
- Varricchio, D.J., Jackson, F., Borkowski, J.J., and Horner, J.R. (1997). Nest and egg clutches of the dinosaur *Troodon formosus* and the evolution of avian reproductive traits. Nature 385, 247–250.
- Sato, T., Chang, Y.-N., Wu, X.-C., Zelenitsky, D.A., and Hsiao, Y.-F. (2005). A pair of shelled eggs inside a female dinosaur. Science 308, 375.
- Zheng, X.-T., O'Connor, J.K., Huchzermeyer, F.W., Wang, X.-L., Wang, Y., Wang, M., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2013). Preservation of ovarian follicles reveals early evolution of avian reproductive behaviour. Nature 495, 507–511.
- Benson, R.B.J., and Choiniere, J.N. (2013). Rates of dinosaur limb evolution provide evidence for exceptional radiation in Mesozoic birds. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280, 20131780.
- MacLeod, N., Rawson, P.F., Forey, P.L., Banner, F.T., BoudagherFadel, M.K., Bown, P.R., and Burnett, J.A. (1997). The Cretaceous-Tertiary biotic transition. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 154, 265–292.
- Brusatte, S.L., Butler, R.J., Barrett, P.M., Carrano, M.T., Evans, D.C., Lloyd, G.T., Mannion, P.D., Norell, M.A., Peppe, D.J., Upchurch, P., and Williamson, T.E. (2015). The extinction of the dinosaurs. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 90, 628–642.
- Alvarez, L.W., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., and Michel, H.V. (1980). Extraterrestrial cause for the Cretaceous-Tertiary extinction. Science 208, 1095– 1108.
- 95. Schulte, P., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Arz, J.A., Barton, P.J., Bown, P.R., Bralower, T.J., Christeson, G.L., Claeys, P., Cockell, C.S., et al. (2010). The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. Science 327, 1214–1218.

- Archibald, J.D., and Fastovsky, D.E. (2004). Dinosaur extinction. In The Dinosauria, 2nd edition, D.B. Weishampel, P. Dodson, and H. Osmólska, eds. (Berkeley: Univ. of California Press), pp. 672–684.
- 97. Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K., and Mooers, A.O. (2012). The global diversity of birds in space and time. Nature 491, 444–448.
- Zhang, G., Li, C., Li, Q., Li, B., Larkin, D.M., Lee, C., Storz, J.F., Antunes, A., Greenwold, M.J., Meredith, R.W., *et al.* (2014). Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. Science 346, 1311–1320.
- Longrich, N.R., Tokaryk, T., and Field, D.J. (2012). Mass extinction of birds at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 15253–15257.
- 100. Feduccia, A. (1995). Explosive evolution in Tertiary birds and mammals. Science 267, 637–683.
- 101. Feduccia, A. (2003). 'Big Bang' for Tertiary birds? Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 172–176.
- 102. Mayr, G. (2009). Paleogene Fossil Birds (Berlin: Springer).
- Livezey, B.C., and Zusi, R.L. (2007). Higher-order phylogeny of modern birds (Theropoda, Aves: Neornithes) based on comparative anatomy. II. Analysis and discussion. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 149, 1–95.
- 104. Feduccia, A. (2014). Avian extinction at the end of the Cretaceous: assessing the magnitude and subsequent explosive radiation. Cret. Res. 50, 1–15.
- 105. Brown, J.W. (2008). Strong mitochondrial DNA support for a Cretaceous origin of modern avian lineages. BMC Biol. 6, 6.
- 106. Ksepka, D.T., Ware, J.L., and Lamm, K.S. (2014). Flying rocks and flying clocks: disparity in fossil and molecular dates for birds. Proc. Biol. Sci. 281, 20140677.
- 107. Mayr, G. (2004). Morphological evidence for sister group relationship between flamingos (Aves: Phoenicopteridae) and grebes (Podicipedidae). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 140, 157–169.
- Alvarenga, H.M.F., and Höfling, E. (2003). Systematic revision of the Phorusrhacidae (Aves: Ralliformes). Papeis Avulsos de Zoologia 43, 55–91.
- **109.** Erickson, P.G. (2012). Evolution of terrestrial birds in three continents: biogeography and parallel radiations. J. Biogeog. 39, 813–824.
- 110. Jarvis, E.D. (2004). Learned birdsong and the neurobiology of human language. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. *1016*, 749–777.
- 111. Petkov, C.I., and Jarvis, E.D. (2012). Birds, primates, and spoken language origins: behavioral phenotypes and neurobiological substrates. Front. Evol. Neurosci. *4*, 12.
- 112. Bolhuis, J.J., and Everaert, M. (2013). Birdsong, Speech, and Language: Exploring the Evolution of Mind and Brain (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- 113. Pratt, R.C., Gibb, G.C., Morgan-Richards, M., Phillips, M.J., Hendy, M.D., and Penny, D. (2009). Toward resolving deep Neoaves phylogeny: data, signal enhancement, and priors. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26, 313–326.
- 114. Pfenning, A.R., Hara, E., Whitney, O., Rivas, M.V., Wang, R., Roulhac, P.L., Howard, J.T., Wirthlin, M., Lovell, P.V., Ganapathy, G., *et al.* (2014). Convergent transcriptional specializations in the brains of humans and song-learning birds. Science *346*, 1256846.